Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/516,507	LOESSNER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SATYENDRA K. SINGH	1657
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>after FAOM</u>		
(1) <u>SATYENDRA K. SINGH</u> .	(3)	
(2) SHMUEL LIVNAT (attorney of record).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 June 2008	Time: <u>7 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 49-66 AND 69		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Satyendra K. Singh/ Examiner, Art Unit 1657	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative (attorney of record, Mr Shmuel Livnat; phone 202-628-5197; 301-807-2803) was telephonically contacted by the examiner, and provided with a proposed Examiner's Amendment (by fascimile) to the pending claims (that have been found to be allowable through an in house patentability conference with the Primary examiner, Irene Marx and SPE, Jon Weber) for applicant's considerations. Mr. Shmuel Livnat called the examiner and confirmed that applicant's have accepted the proposed Examiner's Amendment to the claims..